

Memo

To: Joan Couse
From: Robert Agnew
Date: 2/22/2008
Re: Attendees and Minutes of the Network Improvement Constituent Group Meeting

Attendees:

NAME	INSTITUTION	E-MAIL
Robert Agnew	Baldwin-Wallace College	ragnew@bw.edu
Bill Roberts	Fuller Seminary	Wroberts@fuller.edu
Clive Houston-Brown	University of La Verne	Cio@ulv.edu
Bruce Carpenter	Connecticut College	bwcar@ConnColl.edu
Less Hisle	Connecticut College	Wlhis@ConnColl.edu
Don Padgett	Univ. of Notre Dame	donald.l.padgett.2@nd.edu
Lewis Dreblow	Muskingum College	Dreblow@Muskingum.edu
Clark Hoopes	Malone College	Choopes@Malone.edu
Michael Ruiz	Hobart and William Smith	Ruiz@HWS.edu
Kathleen Heideman	Minneapolis College of Art and Design	online@mcad.edu
Valerie Roman	Phillips Academy	Vroman@Andover.edu
Manny Amaral	Franklin W. Olin College of engineering	Manuel.Amaral@Olin.edu
Claudia O'Connor	Mary Mount University	Claudia.Oconnor@Marymount.edu
Duane Elms	Western New Mexico University	ELMSD@WNMU.edu
Ron Helmuth	Moravian College	Helmuthr@Moravian.edu
Arlen Rauschkolb	Bank Street College	ARauschkolb@bankstreet.edu
Tom Horn	Cleveland Institute of Art	Thorn@gate.cia.edu
Brian Buckler	U. C. Irvine	Bbuckler@uci.edu
John Dolan	Oregon State	Jon.Dolan@oregonstate.edu
Roy Gruver	Lehigh University	Roy.Gruver@lehigh.edu

Minutes

1. Introductions – each attendee introduced him/herself to the group and spoke very briefly about the network infrastructure at their institution.
2. Discussion topics included
 - a. Recreational use of the campus network – polices
 - b. Network Security
 - c. Wireless
 - d. Network Hardware
 - e. Voice over IP
 - f. Separating residential network from labs and office traffic
 - g. Monitoring the campus network especially the residential network
 - h. Network Consultants
 - i. Software packages for network management and monitoring including
 - i. TrendMicro
 - ii. GW Guardian
 - iii. Defender
 - iv. Fluke Optiview
 - v. HP Openview
 - vi. Nogios
 - vii. Netrio
3. As mentioned last year I felt that these issues are very important to small colleges and interest is expected to grow. It is important that EDUCAUSE continue the constituent group and encouraged participation through the CIO and Net Improvement list serves.

Note: The room was not user friendly – we shared a very large room with another much larger group who needed most of the tables and chairs provided. I believe we ran out of room for our group (one person came and left due to the lack of space). Also round tables did not lend themselves to the optimal setup.

I also think the placement of the lunch and constituency meetings could not have been place further apart. Surely by now we are past the silliness of forcing 6,000 folks to walk through the exhibit hall to get lunch.